



WIMUN NY 2025

STUDY GUIDE

GENERAL ASSEMBLY PLENARY 2

WFUNA
801 First Avenue
3rd Floor
New York, NY, 10017

Email: wimun@wfuna.org
Phone: +41 (0) 22 917 32 74
Instagram: [@wimunsecretariat](https://www.instagram.com/wimunsecretariat)



General Assembly Security Council

Distr.: General
9 July 2013

Original: English

General Assembly
Sixty-seventh session
Agenda items 14 and 113

Security Council
Sixty-eighth year

Integrated and coordinated implementation of and follow-up to the outcomes of the major United Nations conferences and summits in the economic, social and related fields

Follow-up to the outcome of the Millennium Summit

Responsibility to protect: State responsibility and prevention

Report of the Secretary-General

I. Introduction and mandate

1. Since the **2005 World Summit** adoption of the concept of the responsibility to protect, the international community has made progress in the development of the concept and its implementation.¹ In 2009, in its resolution 63/308, the General Assembly agreed to give ongoing consideration to the concept. Since then, I have issued **annual reports** to assist the Assembly by examining different aspects of the concept and its application.

2. The first report, on implementing the responsibility to protect (A/63/677), laid out a three-pillar strategy for implementation in line with paragraphs 138 and 139 of the World Summit Outcome. The first pillar refers to the primary responsibility of each State to protect its populations by preventing genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity ("atrocity crimes") in accordance with their national and international obligations. The second pillar sets out the parallel commitment of the international community to encourage and assist States to fulfil their responsibility. The third pillar underscores the range of tools available under Chapters VI, VII and VIII of the Charter of the United Nations for timely and decisive response when States manifestly fail to meet their responsibilities.

3. The second report, in 2010, which focused on early warning, assessment and the responsibility to protect (A/64/864), called for early engagement tailored to the evolving needs of each situation. The third report, in 2011, considered the role of

¹ Resolution 60/1.



0 Did you know that...

The Responsibility to Protect – known as R2P – is an international norm that seeks to ensure that the international community never again fails to halt the mass atrocity crimes of genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity. The concept emerged in response to the failure of the international community to adequately respond to mass atrocities committed in Rwanda and the former Yugoslavia during the 1990s. The International Committee on Intervention and State Sovereignty developed the concept of R2P during 2001.

1 Did you know that...

The 2005 World Summit, which took place from September 14th to 16th at the United Nations Headquarters in New York, brought together more than 170 heads of state and governments.

1 Something to think about

Several annual reports mention the root causes of atrocities as uneven growth and uneven distribution of resources, poverty, and inequality. Chronic under-development does not cause atrocities directly, but the reports do at times make the link between limited resources, civil strife, and increasing strains between communities. However, the corresponding view of what the international community can do to address these long-term causes does not tackle the problematic global system that benefits certain countries to the disadvantage of the underdeveloped ones and that contributes to poverty, inequality, and weak state structures. Do you feel that international community assistance must be recommended to be delivered in the form of capacity building in weak states, putting pressure on actors not to commit atrocities by signing up to relevant international treaties, and providing education and training in areas such as good governance?



General Assembly Security Council

Distr.: General
9 July 2013

Original: English

General Assembly
Sixty-seventh session
Agenda items 14 and 113

Security Council
Sixty-eighth year

Integrated and coordinated implementation of and follow-up to the outcomes of the major United Nations conferences and summits in the economic, social and related fields

Follow-up to the outcome of the Millennium Summit

Responsibility to protect: State responsibility and prevention

Report of the Secretary-General

I. Introduction and mandate

1. Since the 2005 World Summit adoption of the concept of the responsibility to protect, the international community has made progress in the development of the concept and its implementation.¹ In 2009, in its resolution 63/308, the General Assembly agreed to give ongoing consideration to the concept. Since then, I have issued annual reports to assist the Assembly by examining different aspects of the concept and its application.
2. The first report, on implementing the responsibility to protect (A/63/677), laid out a **three-pillar strategy** for implementation in line with paragraphs 138 and 139 of the World Summit Outcome. The first pillar refers to the primary responsibility of each State to protect its populations by preventing genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity ("atrocity crimes") in accordance with their national and international obligations. The **second pillar** sets out the parallel commitment of the international community to encourage and assist States to fulfil their responsibility. The third pillar underscores the range of tools available under Chapters VI, VII and VIII of the Charter of the United Nations for timely and decisive response when States manifestly fail to meet their responsibilities.
3. The second report, in 2010, which focused on early warning, assessment and the responsibility to protect (A/64/864), called for early engagement tailored to the evolving needs of each situation. The third report, in 2011, considered the role of

¹ Resolution 60/1.



2 Something to think about

The idea behind the three pillars is that as risk progresses, responsibility shifts. First and foremost, States have a responsibility to protect their own populations. Once some sort of threshold is crossed—the Secretary-General's 2009 report refers to 'societies undergoing domestic chaos and strife'—responsibility shifts to 'the international community' and donor States. In his 2014 report on international assistance and the R2P, the Secretary-General stressed the 'collective' nature of this pillar 2 responsibility (UNSG 2014). Then once atrocities are underway, the focus is squarely on the UN. Does this enable a loop of rolling responsibilities?

2 Did you know that...

Mass atrocities consist of extreme violence inflicted on a large scale or in a deliberate manner, particularly on civilians and non-combatants, by State or non-State actors. Mass atrocities encompass the international crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes, and aggression.

2 Did you know that...

This obligation has its basis in international laws such as the Geneva Conventions, the Genocide Convention, the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, and the Convention Against Torture.

regional and subregional arrangements in implementing the responsibility to protect (A/65/877-S/2011/393). Focusing on timely and decisive response, the fourth report, in 2012 (A/66/874-S/2012/578), took stock of the Charter-based tools available to respond to situations of concern and the partnerships that could be utilized, as well as ways of protecting responsibility.

4. Advancing the responsibility to protect through the prevention of atrocity crimes is a key element of my five-year action agenda. Recent events, including in the [Syrian Arab Republic](#), underline the vital importance of early action to prevent atrocity crimes and the terrible consequences when prevention fails.

5. At the heart of paragraphs 138 and 139 of the World Summit Outcome lies the acknowledgement by Member States of their primary responsibility to protect their populations. This responsibility entails the prevention of such crimes, including their incitement, through appropriate and necessary means. In this regard, "populations" refers not only to citizens or civilians but to all populations within State borders.

6. The responsibility to protect is consistent with existing obligations under international human rights, humanitarian and refugee law, which are binding on all States. The obligation of States to actively prevent genocide is established in article 1 of the Genocide Convention. [Common article 1 of the Geneva Conventions](#) sets out the obligation of State parties to ensure respect for international humanitarian law in all circumstances.

7. Beyond moral and legal obligations, history has shown that building societies that are resilient to atrocity crimes reinforces State sovereignty and increases prospects for peace and stability. Building resilience implies developing appropriate legal frameworks and building State structures and institutions that are legitimate, respect international human rights law and the rule of law in general, and that have the capacity to address and defuse sources of tension before they escalate. It means building a society which accepts and values diversity and in which different communities coexist peacefully.

8. Focusing on the responsibility of States to protect their populations by preventing genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity, as well as their incitement, the present report assesses the causes and dynamics of such crimes and violations and reviews the array of structural and operational measures that States can take to prevent atrocity crimes. It provides examples of initiatives that Member States are already taking and identifies additional steps that could be taken to prevent atrocity crimes.

II. Methodology

9. In order to seek the views of Member States and other key partners on the subject of the present report, my Special Adviser on the Responsibility to Protect conducted a consultation process. By a note verbale dated 11 March 2013, Member States and regional and subregional organizations were invited to submit their views on the measures available to increase national prevention capacity, the challenges to implementing those measures and examples of preventive practices.

Non-governmental organizations were also invited to contribute. As at 16 May

4 Did you know that...

As of 2024, there have been reports which note that the continuing indiscriminate and disproportionate shelling by the Kurdish-led Syrian Democratic Forces (SDF) is a clear violation of international humanitarian law, with the group's indiscriminate killings amounting to war crimes. Furthermore, a total of five attacks on vital civilian facilities were documented in February 2024, including three attacks by Syrian regime forces, which were concentrated in Idlib governorate. More recently, Syria has been pulled into the conflict that is engulfing the Middle East region. The dramatic escalation in the region has displaced over 300,000 Syrian refugees yet again, this time fleeing Israeli bombardments from Lebanon into Syria along with more than 100,000 Lebanese nationals that are also fleeing their war-torn country. This has resulted in a political vacuum within Syria which has created an opening for Syrian insurgents to renege with Syrian forces to recapture cities and towns in northern Syria. A close eye must be kept on the conflict to monitor any new violations of international humanitarian law. [See comment on paragraph 72 for more information on the Syrian conflict.]

6 Did you know that...

Common Article 1 of the Geneva Conventions is also interpreted as conferring a responsibility on third-party states not involved in an armed conflict to not encourage a party to an armed conflict to violate international humanitarian law, nor to take action that would assist in such violations, and to take appropriate steps to cause such violations to cease.

2013, the Secretariat had received written submissions from 27 Member States, one regional organization and 27 civil society organizations.

10. In addition to written submissions, in April 2013, the Secretariat held consultations with more than 120 Member States from Africa, Asia, Europe and Latin America and the Caribbean in meetings with regional groupings in New York. In addition, Slovenia organized a consultative meeting in Ljubljana, in which 31 European Member States participated. The consultations and written contributions informed the content of the present report.

11. The examples included in the report highlight measures taken by Member States from across regions that may contribute to addressing risk factors for atrocity crimes and building State resilience, even if they were not undertaken with that specific objective. Some of the examples were generated through the consultative process while others were identified through desk research. They are not meant to provide an assessment of progress or to capture all relevant initiatives. Nevertheless, they illustrate how some of the policy options presented have been operationalized.

III. Risk factors

12. It should be acknowledged that there is an overlap between the risk factors related to armed conflict and those related to atrocity crimes. Atrocity crimes are more likely to occur during armed conflict, especially internal armed conflict. Armed conflict is itself a source of risk for atrocity crimes, while atrocity crimes can also increase the risk of armed conflict. Not all armed conflict generates atrocity crimes and not all atrocity crimes occur within a context of armed conflict. What distinguishes atrocity crimes is the deliberate targeting of specific groups, communities or populations, including persons protected under the Geneva Conventions, and sometimes cycles of reaction and counter-reaction between communities.

13. As a result, while conflict prevention and atrocity prevention are closely related, they are not synonymous, and atrocity prevention measures should be developed with that in mind. Focusing exclusively on conflict prevention would overlook atrocity crimes that occur outside of armed conflict or that are not necessarily related to armed conflict.

14. Genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity have much in common with regard to the specific prohibited acts² and thus the associated risk factors. Nevertheless, there are key distinctions. In the case of genocide, the distinction lies in the intent of the perpetrators to "destroy in whole or in part" a national, ethnic, racial or religious group. War crimes can be committed only in the context of armed conflict or occupation. War crimes may include the targeting of civilian infrastructures that are not military objectives and of anyone no longer taking an active part in hostilities as well as the use of weapons prohibited under

² These acts are set out in the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide and the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court. All acts constituting the crimes and violations related to the responsibility to protect are prohibited under international customary law, which is binding on all States regardless of their treaty obligations. Ethnic cleansing, while not defined as a distinct crime under international criminal law, is often a result of a combination of acts that could constitute genocide, war crimes or crimes against humanity.

III Did you know that...

In the 2024 SG Report, The Secretary-General highlights four key challenges in the international response to the risk of atrocity crimes: (1) prevention too often does not begin before risk factors emerge; (2) patterns that lead to atrocity crimes are often not acknowledged, resulting in a misunderstanding of the nature of a particular situation and relevant tools needed to respond; (3) discussions on response to risks are too late and fraught with polarization; and (4) the protection of populations is often not prioritized above other aims when states are responding to emerging conflicts and crises.

12 Did you know that...

The 1949 Geneva Conventions and their Additional Protocols are international treaties that contain the most important rules limiting the barbarity of war. They protect people who do not take part in the fighting (civilians, medical workers) and those who can no longer fight (wounded, sick and shipwrecked troops, prisoners of war).

13 Did you know that...

The ultimate aim of atrocity prevention is to protect civilians from mass atrocities. Actors operating in the atrocity prevention space include:

1. those focused on early warning systems and prevention;
2. those providing immediate protection to civilians from atrocities, including military and peacekeeping actors; and
3. those managing the after-effects of atrocities, so as to prevent atrocities from re-occurring.

Atrocity prevention involves many of the same interventions as those geared towards conflict prevention, yet with a focus on the specific risks and triggers of mass atrocities.

international law. Crimes against humanity are distinguished by the systematic or widespread nature of the gross human rights violations committed. War crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity may be committed in the course of the same event or can be precursors to other atrocity crimes.

15. History has shown that atrocity crimes are processes, not singular events. There is no single cause or set of causes. Rather, there is a multitude of factors associated with a heightened risk of atrocity crimes. Many of these factors are reflected in the analysis framework developed by my Special Adviser on the Prevention of Genocide to assess the risk of genocide.³ A forthcoming framework addressing risk factors for genocide, war crimes and crimes against humanity will complement this tool.

16. The presence of risk factors does not directly or inevitably cause atrocity crimes. Societies can exhibit multiple sources of risk but not experience atrocity crimes. The absence of atrocity crimes can stem from sources of resilience within a given country or simply from the absence of a triggering or driving factor. Although it is impossible to draw a direct causal connection between the presence of specific risk factors and the occurrence of atrocity crimes, they are rarely committed in the absence of those risk factors.

17. First, countries at risk of genocide and, to an extent, other atrocity crimes, often have a history of discrimination or other human rights violations against members of a particular group or population, often on the basis of its ethnic, racial or religious background. This risk factor is particularly significant where the legacies of past atrocity crimes have not been adequately addressed through individual criminal accountability, reparation, truth-seeking and reconciliation as well as comprehensive reform measures in the security and judicial sectors.

18. Genocide is an extreme form of identity-based crime. Whether real or socially constructed, identity can be subject to manipulation by elites, including as a deliberate tactic for personal or political gain, and may be used to deepen societal divisions. Identity-based conflict can be rooted in differences among national, ethnic, racial or religious groups, whether real or perceived. It is not the differences per se that cause conflict among groups, but rather discrimination based on such differences that creates unequal access to resources and exclusion from decision-making processes and leads to a denial of economic, social, cultural, civil and political rights.

19. Patterns of discrimination against a particular community are an important factor. Discrimination can be overt or covert and come in many different forms. Political discrimination refers to the denial of such basic political rights as participation and representation, and freedom of expression, opinion and association. Political discrimination can be evident in the underrepresentation or exclusion of population groups within national legislatures, the security sector and the judiciary. Social discrimination includes measures such as denial of citizenship or the right to profess a religion or belief, compulsory identification and limitations on basic rights such as marriage or education that target members of a community. Patterns of economic discrimination are evident where there are significant socioeconomic disparities among communities. Economic discrimination includes

³ The analysis framework is available in the official languages of the United Nations from <http://www.un.org/en/preventgenocide/adviser/>.

unequal access to economic opportunities, land and other resources, employment, food, shelter or health care. Gender discrimination and inequality increase underlying risks associated with sexual and gender-based violence, which can constitute genocide, war crimes and crimes against humanity in some circumstances. Specific gender discrimination practices include the denial or inadequate protection of basic rights relating to physical security and the status of women, compulsory birth control and unequal access to services and property.

20. Such discrimination causes discord between groups, but, from the perspective of atrocity prevention, is especially disturbing when it stems from patterns of deliberate exclusion. Persistent discrimination establishes divisions within society that serve both as a material cause and as a perceived justification of group violence. Without group-level discrimination, even deep-seated grievances are unlikely to transform into patterns of abuse that give rise to atrocity crimes. Discrimination is often accompanied by violence and additional human rights violations, such as arbitrary detention, enforced disappearances, torture and killing, against specific members of a community or a community as a whole.

21. Second, the underlying motivation for targeting a community, whether it be political, economic, military or religious, is an additional risk factor for atrocity crimes. This motivation is often demonstrated through the use of exclusionary ideology and the construction of identities in terms of "us" and "them" to accentuate differences. These differences can be even further accentuated through hate speech or propaganda campaigns that depict the targeted community as disloyal, as a security or economic threat or as inferior in order to justify action against the community. Whether a State is providing open justification for its actions in targeting a particular sector of the population is also an indicator of risk.

22. Third, the risk of atrocity crimes is often connected to the presence of armed groups or militia and their capacity to commit atrocity crimes. Armed groups or militia may be allied with the State or a particular population sector. Associated risks include the proliferation of arms, including small arms, and the ability of armed groups to support their operations financially through the exploitation of natural resources or other transnational crimes. In a situation of increasing instability or conflict that is compounded by the absence of any deterrent, access to prohibited weapons can further increase the risk of atrocity crimes.

23. Fourth, the risk of atrocity crimes may depend on particular circumstances that facilitate the perpetration of these crimes, for example, any development that suggests a trajectory towards mass violence or the existence of a longer-term plan or policy to commit atrocity crimes. This can include a sudden or gradual strengthening of the military or security apparatus, the creation of or increased support to militia groups, attempts to reduce or eliminate diversity within the security apparatus, preparing sectors of the population in order to use them to perpetrate acts, the introduction of legislation derogating rights and freedoms or the imposition of emergency or extraordinary security laws.

24. Fifth, the risk of genocide and other atrocity crimes can be increased by a Government's lack of capacity to prevent these crimes and the absence of structures or institutions designed to protect the population. Risk factors include an **autocratic political regime** or one that denies the right to effective participation in public affairs and restricts civil society; weak legislative protection of human rights; and weaknesses in the judiciary, national human rights institutions and the security

20 Did you know that...

Atrocity prevention refers to a broad range of tools and strategies which aim to prevent the occurrence of mass killings and other large scale human rights abuses committed against civilians.

24 Did you know that...

Since 2011, the government of Syria has systematically attacked civilians opposing Bashar al-Assad's rule and populations presumed to be supporting rebel forces. The Syrian civil war is the most destructive humanitarian crisis since World War II. According to the country report published by the Early Warning Project, more than half of Syria's 22 million citizens have been driven from their homes, at least 500,000 have been killed, and tens of thousands have disappeared, been detained, or been tortured.

sector. The absence or lack of credibility of these structures or institutions is an important factor. When the rule of law is weak or under stress, these institutions are unable to function properly and populations are left vulnerable. In such situations, there is more likely to be impunity for discrimination and the violence that may be used to enforce it. Impunity not only diminishes human rights protection, it also creates conditions that enable the incitement of further violence.

25. Sixth, risk factors for atrocity crimes include the commission of acts that could be elements of genocide, war crimes and crimes against humanity as set out in, for example, articles 6, 7 and 8 of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court. This can include acts such as killing; enforced disappearance; hostage-taking; torture or other inhumane treatment; rape and other forms of sexual violence; child recruitment and other forced recruitment; arbitrary displacement or forced deportations; the use of prohibited weapons and ammunition; attacks against civilian infrastructures that are not military objectives or attacks against humanitarian personnel. Furthermore, programmes aimed at preventing reproduction as well as the forcible transfer of children can constitute elements of genocide or crimes against humanity.

26. Acts specifically related to genocide include less obvious methods of destruction of a protected group, such as the deliberate deprivation of resources needed for the group's physical survival that are accessible to the rest of the population. The evidence of intent to destroy in whole or in part is also a key risk factor associated with genocide. Intentions can be difficult to ascertain but may be discernible in propaganda or hate speech or in State policies. The intent to destroy can be manifest in widespread discriminatory practices that culminate in gross violations of human rights against a specific group. The intent to destroy can also be inferred from the widespread or systematic nature of acts targeting a particular group as well as the intensity and scale of those acts and the type of weapons employed.

27. These risks can be compounded by triggers or drivers that create a permissive environment or engender a rapid escalation of tensions. Triggers may include a non-constitutional change of government; events that spill over from a neighbouring country, including armed hostilities or mass displacement; internal uprisings or unrest; the assassination of symbolic personalities; or security vacuums following the removal of security forces from an area. In some instances, contests for political power, such as elections, can trigger crises, especially where parties are factionalized along identity lines, where doubts arise about the transparency of electoral processes or where scheduled elections are arbitrarily delayed or cancelled. These contests for political power can be exacerbated by the coexistence of other crises, such as economic instability or natural disasters. Against a backdrop of discrimination and intergroup tensions, contests for political authority or necessary resources can become existential struggles between groups, where one portrays the other as a source of threat.

28. The greatest challenges to preventing atrocity crimes often occur in situations of armed conflict. However, this does not diminish the responsibility of the State to prevent such crimes, nor can it excuse their inaction. States must continue to apply relevant international norms and do their utmost to protect their populations. Failure to ensure that security forces are trained to comply with international humanitarian and human rights law can increase the risk of war crimes and other atrocity crimes.

24 Did you know that...

The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court is the treaty that established the International Criminal Court (ICC) in the Hague. 1 July 2022 marked the 20th anniversary of the entering into force of the Rome Statute, which was ratified by Ireland in March 2002. Under the Rome Statute the ICC has jurisdiction over four categories of the most serious crimes of concern to the international community; genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes and crimes of aggression.

28 Interesting facts...

R2P-driven strategies have had a number of successes, notably in stopping the recurrence of violence in Kenya, the West African cases of Sierra Leone, Liberia, Guinea, Côte d'Ivoire and The Gambia, and in Kyrgyzstan. Partial successes can be claimed for UN operations in the Congo, South Sudan, and the Central African Republic. But against these must be weighed serious failures in Sri Lanka, Sudan, Myanmar, Yemen and, above all, Syria.

Emerging patterns of violations of international humanitarian and human rights law can indicate a risk of future atrocity crimes. Impunity for violations committed by armed forces personnel, irregular forces, militias, as well as armed rebels or other non-State actors also heightens the risk of future atrocity crimes. The risk of war crimes may increase in the absence of rules of engagement that comply with international humanitarian and human rights law, notably the failure to effectively the prohibit and prevent attacks on civilians and civilian property or disproportionate or indiscriminate use of force.

29. The risks highlighted in the present report can be seen, to different degrees, in atrocity crimes that have occurred in all regions of the world. No State can consider itself immune to the risk of atrocity crimes. While there is a hierarchy of risk and many States may have in place the structures that ensure they are able to address internal risks and stresses, the responsibility to protect must be seen as both a national and international responsibility.

IV. Policy options for atrocity prevention

30. The range of risk factors demonstrates that atrocity crimes are processes and not single events that unfold overnight. The Holocaust did not originate in the gas chambers and the genocide in Rwanda did not start with massacres in churches. Those genocides started with hate speech, discrimination and marginalization. In Argentina, the identification of perceived political opponents by the military regime that ruled from 1976 to 1983 was a step towards widespread arbitrary detentions, enforced disappearances, torture and other acts that constituted atrocity crimes. While the processes differed, in each case, a number of steps were taken that, intentionally or unintentionally, facilitated the perpetration of those crimes. An environment that is permissive to such crimes does not develop overnight; the process can take years or decades. There are numerous entry points for action that can stop the process and prevent atrocity crimes. Whatever the configuration of risk factors in a particular situation, actions by States to address those risk factors, including with the support of partners, can reduce the underlying sources of risk and build resilience to navigate periods of stress.

31. Early preventive action can address structural and operational factors that affect State capacity to prevent atrocity crimes. Structural policy options are designed to establish an environment of resilience, address root causes of atrocity crimes, remove core sources of grievances and build State structures that contribute to impeding the commission of atrocity crimes or successfully overcoming periods of instability. Operational measures may involve taking deliberate action to mitigate tensions, halting the commission of imminent or ongoing crimes, ensuring accountability for crimes committed and preventing further violence. Operational measures also include the development of early warning, assessment and response mechanisms.

32. It is important to recognize that genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity affect men and women and girls and boys differently. Security Council resolutions 1325 (2000), 1820 (2008), 1888 (2009), 1889 (2009) and 1960 (2010) on women and peace and security affirm the importance of combating sexual violence as a method of warfare, as well as the full and equal participation of women in decision-making and peace processes. Security Council

24 Did you know that...

Izumi Wakugawa, advisor to the Japan-based International Peace Cooperation Program, suggests a definition of a peace process as "a mixture of politics, diplomacy, changing relationships, negotiation, mediation, and dialogue in both official and unofficial arenas".

resolutions 1612 (2005), 1698 (2006), 1998 (2011), 1882 (2009) and 2068 (2012) on children and armed conflict outline, inter alia, means for combating specific crimes against children. The principles outlined in those resolutions can be incorporated into atrocity prevention strategies.

33. There is no one-size-fits-all approach to atrocity prevention. The policy options outlined in the present report can be tailored to the context of each State. Some are most successful when undertaken in partnership, including with the United Nations, Member States, regional or subregional arrangements or civil society.

34. The paragraphs below highlight structural and operational measures that can contribute to reducing the risk of atrocity crimes and outline some current examples of policy options implemented by Member States. While there are sometimes challenges in implementation, the examples provide ideas of how measures can be applied in different contexts.

Building national resilience

35. Constitutional protections, when upheld, can contribute to creating a society based on non-discrimination. Constitutional arrangements can be vehicles for accommodating distinct national concerns while guaranteeing the protection of fundamental human rights. Constitutions can recognize the diversity of a State and grant explicit protection to different populations, including cultural, ethnic or religious minorities. They can also ensure political recognition of diversity through the devolution of powers, including the establishment of territorial chambers to guarantee regional participation in State governance and the assignment of meaningful roles for regional administrations. Constitutions can provide for diversity in the composition of the State's administrative bodies, civil service, judiciary and security forces. While no diversity management model is perfect, constitutional arrangements have the potential to create the means to address political tensions, including those with a territorial or identity component.

36. Examples of such constitutional protections can be seen worldwide. For instance, the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, which is entrenched in the Constitution of Canada affirms that every individual in Canada is considered equal, regardless of race, religion, national or ethnic origin, colour, sex, age or physical or mental disability. The courts have upheld that this provision protects equality in respect of other characteristics not specifically set out in the Charter. In Croatia, the Constitutional Act on the Rights of National Minorities paves the way for the representation of minorities in political, administrative and judicial institutions at the local, national and regional levels. In South Africa, a comprehensive system of rights for cultural, linguistic, religious and traditional communities is supported by a constitution that recognizes the harmonization of customary law with human rights principles. While there may be challenges to implementation, these constitutions recognize the diversity of their societies and provide protections that can help to manage diversity constructively.

37. Most Governments seek legitimacy through some form of democratic electoral process. When elections meet international standards of freedom, fairness and respect for human rights and enjoy popular support, they can provide legitimacy to the Government. When all sectors of the population have the opportunity to participate in public life through inclusive, fair electoral processes, they are less likely to resort to violence to resolve their differences or make their voices heard.

Electoral processes can help to manage social tensions through public dialogue, constructive debate and the selection of leaders through agreed rules. Electoral systems that encourage inter-communal political cooperation can help to prevent tensions that may spiral into atrocity crimes. Electoral management bodies play an important role in ensuring the integrity of the electoral process and require the necessary resources to play that role impartially and effectively. Monitoring by a robust and independent civil society, in addition to representatives of political parties, can help to ensure that elections are open, transparent and free from malicious influence and that they are accepted as credible.

38. Although the 2006 general election in Guyana was marked by tension, fear and political uncertainty, peacebuilding initiatives by national actors, supported and facilitated by the international community, contributed to preventing a large-scale outbreak of violence. For instance, the electoral commission worked with partners to streamline electoral processes, monitor the media for inaccurate, biased or inflammatory statements, and encourage media outlets to adhere to a code of conduct. In Nepal, the Interim Constitution required political parties to take into account the principle of inclusiveness when selecting candidates. The Election to Members of the Constituent Assembly Act, 2064 (2007) established a quota system for the representation of disadvantaged caste and ethnic groups based on their share of the population as determined by the last census. Despite some challenges, the Act helped to establish an inclusive and diverse Constituent Assembly.

39. The diffusion and sharing of power can engender political pluralism, which promotes the peaceful coexistence of different interests. In a democratic society, the political environment can support a vibrant, multiparty landscape and protect the rights of individuals to establish, join and operate a political party. While the politicization of identity can be a side effect of national peace processes, political parties can be formed in a manner that promotes national cohesion, accommodates the rights of different populations, and limits the ability of political leaders to exploit identity to exclude or marginalize other groups. To foster resilience to atrocity crimes, political parties can regulate the content of their discourse and political programmes, encouraging tolerance and respect for diversity and fostering the use of positive speech and the avoidance of discriminatory language.

40. States have a binding obligation under international customary law to criminalize genocide, war crimes and crimes against humanity and to investigate and prosecute perpetrators. Ensuring accountability for human rights violations and past atrocity crimes contributes not only to their prevention but also builds the credibility of institutions. The legal framework for such accountability is provided through the ratification, domestication and implementation of relevant international legal instruments.⁴ Incorporating obligations under international human rights and humanitarian law into national legislation and taking practical measures for the laws to be implemented strengthens these legal frameworks. Although they have not

⁴ These instruments include the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide; International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the Second Optional Protocol thereto (1989); International Covenant on Social, Economic and Cultural Rights; Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment; Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women; Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination; Convention relating to the Status of Refugees and the 1967 Protocol thereto; Convention on the Rights of the Child; Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court; Arms Trade Treaty.

always strictly replicated international definitions, a number of States, including the Plurinational State of Bolivia, Portugal, Seychelles and Viet Nam, have criminalized genocide, war crimes or crimes against humanity, or all three, in national legislation. Guatemala has set a historical precedent by being the first State to indict a former head of State on charges of genocide and crimes against humanity. States can also refer cases to the International Criminal Court for prosecution. Thus far, four State parties to the Rome Statute, namely the Central African Republic, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Mali and Uganda, have referred situations occurring in their territories to the Court.

41. **National accountability mechanisms** can also ensure that personnel under the control of the State, including the security forces, do not commit acts that could amount to atrocity crimes. The removal of statutory limitations, amnesties or immunities that obstruct the prosecution of State officials and other individuals responsible for atrocity crimes and therefore fall short of international standards, strengthens national legal frameworks for accountability. In Argentina, a 1990 pardon for atrocity crimes committed by the regime of Jorge Rafael Videla was overturned in 2003, when the State removed legal obstacles in order to prosecute those responsible. More than 400 people have now been convicted for crimes against humanity, including the former President.

42. In societies that have lived through atrocity crimes, a fair and inclusive transitional justice process can prevent relapse into further violence. **Transitional justice initiatives** can encompass both judicial and non-judicial mechanisms, including individual prosecutions, reparations, truth-seeking, institutional reform, vetting and dismissals. These processes can address the root causes of tensions by promoting truth-telling and ensuring accountability and access to justice. Addressing past grievances and violations can facilitate reconciliation by promoting human rights, bolstering the rule of law and re-instilling confidence in the State, as well as promote stability and durable peace. Compliance with international standards and a comprehensive approach ensures that these processes are effective and legitimate.

43. In terms of transitional justice processes, the Timor-Leste Commission for Reception, Truth and Reconciliation provides an example of an independent, statutory authority. The Commission was mandated to undertake truth-seeking for the period from 1974 to 1999 and facilitate community reconciliation. The Commission's recommendations were presented to the President, Parliament and Government of Timor-Leste.

44. An effective security sector reform process can contribute to mitigating the risk of atrocity crimes by controlling the means to commit atrocity crimes and deterring instances of misconduct or abuse. A legitimate security sector is characterized by transparent, accountable and democratic civilian oversight, including on budgetary matters; the inclusion of staff from diverse population groups at all levels; the promotion of professionalism among uniformed personnel; the creation of programmes to improve relations with local communities; vetting of officers for participation in atrocity crimes and removal of identified perpetrators; the provision of training on international humanitarian and human rights law and on the collection of evidence of atrocity crimes; the establishment of operating procedures for the use of force and firearms that are compliant with international standards; and the adoption of international humanitarian and human rights standards in national military statutes along with the creation of internal disciplinary

41 Something to think about

The national justice system of a state can prosecute these crimes, usually when such crimes occur on the territory of or are perpetrated by a national of that state. Such prosecutions might be unavailable if a state has not enacted provisions in its criminal code to precisely prosecute these crimes. Certain states have increasingly prosecuted these crimes under provisions in national criminal codes exercising "universal jurisdiction" over core international crimes, meaning any competent court or tribunal can try a person for the crime, even if the crime and the alleged perpetrator have no specific connection to the country where the court is situated.

42 Did you know that...

Transitional justice initiatives are actions taken to help a society move from a period of conflict, war, or dictatorship to peace and democracy. They focus on addressing past wrongs, such as human rights violations, and aim to ensure justice, accountability, and reconciliation.

Examples include:

- Trials for those responsible for crimes.
 - Truth commissions to uncover what happened.
 - Reparations to compensate victims.
 - Reforms to prevent future abuses.
- These initiatives aim to heal wounds, promote justice, and rebuild trust in society.

and other accountability mechanisms to address violations committed by security forces personnel. Security sector reform is most likely to contribute to reducing the risk of atrocity crimes when initiated by a legitimate government with democratic institutions or within the framework of a comprehensive democratic reform plan. In many countries, including Austria, Estonia and Slovenia, civilian oversight of the armed forces encourages accountability.

45. Since economic deprivation or real or perceived disparities constitute risk factors for atrocity crimes, a range of political, economic and social measures can be taken to increase actual or perceived equity in the distribution of resources, assets, income and opportunities among groups and to promote overall economic development and prosperity. Relevant measures to promote horizontal equality could include employment and safety net programmes for marginalized populations, including young people, as well as fiscal reforms to enhance transparency and equity. **Anti-discriminatory** initiatives and policies aimed at reducing corruption, including corruption or favouritism linked to identity, can complement these reforms. Since corruption and inequity undermine the legitimacy of the State, such reforms can contribute to mitigating grievances that create instability.

46. In 2008, Australia adopted the **"Closing the Gap"** strategy at the national level, which is aimed at reducing disparities between the indigenous and non-indigenous populations with respect to life expectancy, child mortality, access to early childhood education, educational achievement and employment. In Ecuador, the Constitution serves as the foundation for social protection policies and more inclusive economic growth. The adoption of a national development plan has paved the way for the promotion of inclusiveness and transparency by incorporating social actors in the development process.

47. Beyond these structural reforms, the development or strengthening of national institutions, including legislative bodies, that establish the foundations of good governance based on the rule of law, democratic principles and values, and accountability contribute to building societies that are resilient to atrocity crimes. National institutions that are accountable to the population assume responsibility for their protection and enjoy greater legitimacy. One of the principal sources of resilience is the rule of law. Legislative protection for human rights, minority rights and the rights of refugees and internally displaced persons; an independent and effective judiciary; national human rights institutions; effective, legitimate and accountable security forces; and a diverse and robust civil society, including a pluralistic media, are all related to the rule of law and can contribute to strengthening the capacity of a society to overcome the risks associated with atrocity crimes. When the rule of law is weak or under stress, national institutions are less able or unable to function properly and populations are left vulnerable.

48. Legislative chambers in such countries as France, Luxembourg and Romania produce annual public reports on their activities and budget implementation. In Botswana, the Directorate on Corruption and Economic Crime is mandated to prevent corruption in public bodies by conducting a corruption risk assessment, examining practices and procedures of Government bodies and providing seminars and consultancy services to various public organizations.

45 Did you know that...

The Equality Act 2010 in the United Kingdom consolidates and strengthens previous anti-discrimination laws, providing comprehensive protection against discrimination on various grounds, including age, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, and pregnancy and maternity. Through its provisions and enforcement mechanisms, the Equality Act 2010 serves as a cornerstone in promoting equality and combating discrimination across diverse sectors of society.

46 Something to think about

There has been no improvement in closing the gap in life expectancy, with Indigenous Australian males and females expected to live 8.8 and 8.1 years respectively, less than other Australians. The target to reduce the number of children in out-of-home care is not on track, while the target to reduce adult imprisonment is not on track and worsening.

Promoting and protecting human rights

49. A national infrastructure for the promotion and protection of human rights strengthens State resilience to atrocity crimes and improves its capacity to address underlying sources of tension. This infrastructure should be based on a legal framework that is consistent with international human rights law. It can also include national human rights action plans and human rights institutions, as well as human rights commissions and humanitarian institutions dedicated to the protection, inclusion and empowerment of vulnerable or excluded groups. An ombudsperson may represent the interests of the population by investigating and addressing complaints of mismanagement or violations of rights.

50. Human rights institutions can play a critical role in atrocity prevention. They can promote and monitor the implementation of international human rights standards and domestic law, including by cooperating with United Nations treaty bodies and the human rights mechanisms and universal periodic review process of the Human Rights Council, as well as with international and regional mechanisms. States that establish such institutions and provide them with the necessary independence and resources to operate demonstrate their commitment to building resilience to atrocity crimes.

51. As at May 2012, 69 of the 101 national human rights institutions from across all regions **have been** assessed as complying with the Paris Principles, which set standards for their status and functioning. One of these, the Afghanistan Independent Human Rights Commission, has been investigating cases of human rights violations and classifying those that could amount to atrocity crimes. The governing body of the Commission includes civil society organizations and has built bridges between the Government and civil society. The Indonesian National Human Rights Commission is equipped with extensive legal powers and a mandate to investigate possible human rights violations. In 2008, it was given additional responsibilities for the prevention of racial and ethnic discrimination. The members of the Central American Council of Human Rights Ombudsmen have approved the development of an early warning system for genocide prevention that would seek to utilize the autonomous and investigatory role played by each Ombudsperson to obtain and analyse information through a genocide prevention lens.

52. National institutions that contribute to building resilience can take different forms. In Kenya, the National Cohesion and Integration Commission was established in 2008 to facilitate and promote equality of opportunity, good relations, harmony and peaceful coexistence between persons of different ethnic and racial backgrounds and to advise the Government on measures to increase knowledge, improve practices on cohesion, eliminate discrimination and strengthen good governance.

53. An active, diverse and robust civil society that is allowed to operate freely and openly without fear of persecution or reprisal helps to ensure accountability of leaders, respect for the rule of law and the inclusion of all sectors of society in decision-making processes. In 2012, Mexico adopted a law establishing new protection mechanisms for journalists and human rights defenders and created a special advisory board to the Government to involve civil society in the implementation of the mechanism. While there are challenges in implementation, the law is seen as a step towards protecting journalists and human rights defenders who are at risk.

51 Interesting facts...**RECENT UPDATES**

As of 2021, the number of countries with National Human Rights Institutions in compliance with the Paris Principles, by region are as follows:

- Africa: 25
- Europe: 25
- Asia: 15
- North America: 7
- South America: 7
- Oceania: 3

54. The media is an important element of civil society. The independence and plurality of the media should be encouraged, including the right of national, racial, religious and ethnic minorities to have their own media. States can also create a legal and social environment that encourages professional and ethical standards in journalism and respects and encourages freedom of the press. Freedom of expression is safeguarded in the constitutions of many States, including the Netherlands, which has an independent and diverse media. The Constitution of Malawi guarantees freedom of the press. While there are ongoing challenges regarding access to information, in May 2012, the administration repealed media laws judged to be repressive and took steps to strengthen the role of the media.

55. While respecting freedom of opinion and expression, it is important to combat advocacy of national, racial or religious hatred that constitutes incitement to discrimination, hostility or violence, in line with articles 19 and 20 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and taking into account the Rabat Declaration and Plan of Action (see A/HRC/22/17/Add.4, appendix).

Adopting targeted measures to prevent atrocity crimes

56. There are certain specific measures that States can take to mainstream an atrocity prevention lens within national administrations. These include the designation of an atrocity prevention or responsibility to protect focal point or inter-agency mechanism, which can contribute to the integration of an atrocity prevention perspective in national policies and strategies. These focal points can help to coordinate national efforts to mainstream and operationalize the responsibility to protect concept, which can spur the establishment of national atrocity prevention action plans tailored to the national context.

57. Some States have already taken this step. In 2010, Denmark and Ghana launched an initiative on the responsibility to protect focal points. Australia and Costa Rica have since joined the organizing group. At present, 28 States representing all regions have appointed national responsibility to protect focal points. As with any national initiative, each State has approached this function from its own perspective. In Denmark, the appointment of a national focal point on the responsibility to protect was followed by the establishment of an inter-ministerial coordination mechanism, based on each ministry's relevance to the implementation of the three pillars of the responsibility to protect. In a separate initiative, a series of regional conferences on the prevention of genocide organized by Argentina, Cambodia, Switzerland and the United Republic of Tanzania since 2008 has led to the creation of a network of genocide prevention focal points and facilitated an exchange of lessons learned.

58. In the United States of America, the establishment of the inter-agency Atrocities Prevention Board in 2012 was preceded by a thorough review of existing capacities and gaps and led to a series of recommendations that are now being implemented.

59. In Africa, Kenya, Rwanda, Uganda and the United Republic of Tanzania have established national committees on the prevention and punishment of the crime of genocide, war crimes, crimes against humanity and all forms of discrimination, based on the Protocol of the International Conference on the Great Lakes Region and building on the Genocide Convention and the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court. All 11 Member States of this subregional body have committed to

54 Did you know that...

The right to freedom of expression is enshrined in Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which sets out in broad terms the human rights that each of us has. It states, "Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.

56 Interesting facts...

The Costa Rican government has looked to the existing body of the CCDIH (Commission for International Humanitarian Law of Costa Rica) to manage the state's agenda of atrocity crimes prevention. The Commission was created by an Executive Order in 2004 as an inter-ministerial/inter-departmental body with an advisory role to the Executive branch of the government. The CCDIH is also mandated to implement International Humanitarian Law (IHL) and propagate its dissemination. The Commission is led by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Culture, and is the only inter-ministerial mechanism in Costa Rica with a mandate and competency in issue areas pertaining to atrocity crimes prevention.

establishing similar committees.⁵ While still in the process of development, the committees could pave the way for the creation or strengthening of national early warning mechanisms.

60. Effective early warning mechanisms with a specific atrocity prevention focus that are linked to policy development can help to detect, assess and respond to sources of tension and points of risk or identify vulnerable populations. In that context, early warning mechanisms can serve to alert decision makers, including Government officials, politicians and representatives of regional and subregional organizations, to situations that could escalate into atrocity crimes. More specifically, these mechanisms can help to identify sources of risk, monitor developments and make recommendations for early preventive action. They can channel relevant information to the right actors in a systematic and timely manner that facilitates better planning and use of resources to implement preventive action. While early warning does not always result in early action, timely preventive action is unlikely without early warning.

61. **Institutionalized processes** for dialogue between the State and different communities are important to maintain open channels of communication and build trust. States can also consider developing mechanisms to support the early resolution of tensions. An effective national mechanism would regularly assess risk factors and root causes of tensions and identify ways to address those tensions before they escalate. Local mechanisms aimed at resolving tensions among communities and countering hate speech and incitement to violence can also contribute to enhancing national cohesion. Civil society organizations, including women's groups, should be included in such mechanisms. National and local actors can draw on tools such as mediation, arbitration, legal settlement and other judicial means to resolve disputes. These tools are effective when they are seen to be credible and respect the rule of law.

62. In Ghana, the National Peace Council, which was established in 2011, has taken steps to mediate local tensions and develop a policy framework for conflict resolution. The Council has been instrumental in managing political tensions, particularly those arising from closely contested elections. Singapore has a variety of institutional arrangements that bring together religious leaders to build interfaith trust and strengthen community interaction to address interreligious tensions before they escalate.

63. Education can promote tolerance and an understanding of the value of diversity. Changing the behaviour, attitudes and perceptions of young people can contribute to creating a society that is resilient to atrocity crimes. Education systems should reflect the ethnic, national and cultural diversity of societies, set an example of inclusiveness in their policies, and prescribe textbooks that promote inclusiveness and acceptance. Education curriculums should include instruction on past violations and on the causes, dynamics and consequences of atrocity crimes. In 2009, Argentina launched an educational programme that includes teaching the Holocaust in a manner that reinforces the notion of accountability, participation and inclusion.

64. Commemoration acts and memorials to past atrocity crimes are also elements of preventive action. Cambodia, Germany, Iraq, Paraguay, Poland, Rwanda and

⁵ Angola, Burundi, Central African Republic, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Kenya, Uganda, Republic of the Congo, Rwanda, Sudan, United Republic of Tanzania and Zambia.

61 Did you know that...

Institutionalized processes for dialogue between the State and different communities are formal systems or mechanisms established to ensure ongoing, constructive communication between governments and diverse groups within society. These processes aim to address concerns, resolve conflicts, and build mutual trust, especially in societies with historical or ongoing tensions.

other countries have institutionalized the memory of past atrocity crimes by establishing memorials or organizing remembrance ceremonies, thereby promoting greater recognition and understanding of such crimes. The empowerment of victims' associations, including in Rwanda, ensures that those who were most affected by atrocity crimes remain part of the national conscience as their respective countries move forward.

Challenges

65. While there is a broad range of policy options available to strengthen national atrocity prevention capacity, there are still challenges for Member States to fulfil the commitment made at the 2005 World Summit.

66. First and foremost, political will and leadership are required to translate that commitment into practice. It is sometimes difficult to demonstrate the added value of prevention, particularly **early preventive action**, which may not have immediate, visible outcomes. While such action is most likely to save lives and is less costly and contentious than action taken at a later stage, it can be challenging to secure the political support and resources required to undertake it.

67. Second, since atrocity crimes stem from a range of risk factors, it can be hard to discern what needs to be addressed and at what stage. Lack of capacity, expertise and understanding of the causes and dynamics of these crimes or of the severity of certain risks can be additional barriers to preventive action.

68. Third, the responsibility to protect entails both a national and international responsibility. Atrocity prevention must be rooted in national efforts to create a society that is resilient to atrocity crimes and in international efforts to assist other States, should the need arise.

Building partnerships for prevention

69. **Partnerships**, including with the United Nations, other Member States, regional or subregional organizations and civil society, can help States to strengthen national atrocity prevention efforts and overcome the above-mentioned challenges. Partnerships, rooted in national ownership of atrocity prevention efforts, can provide Member States with technical assistance and resources to strengthen national capacities. They can also pave the way for strengthened regional and international collaboration on atrocity prevention.

70. As an example of regional partnership, 18 States launched the Latin American Network for Genocide and Mass Atrocity Prevention in 2012. In collaboration with civil society partners and my Special Advisers on the Prevention of Genocide and on the Responsibility to Protect, this coalition supports initiatives by its members to build national capacity to prevent atrocity crimes.

V. The way forward

71. Beyond the range of policy options identified above, Member States committed to fulfilling their responsibility to protect populations by preventing atrocity crimes and meeting their obligations under international law could consider the following steps to invigorate national atrocity prevention efforts. The steps

66 Did you know that...

Another interesting and crucial facet of this is 'root cause' prevention. This form of prevention refers to efforts focusing on creating structural conditions – be they socio-economic, political, cultural, environmental, legal or institutional – that encourage peaceful interaction and conflict resolution. 'Root cause' prevention is seen as preferable to 'direct' prevention, in that 'root cause' prevention addresses the underlying causes of conflicts and crises, and not only the immediate symptoms.

69 Did you know that...

The Special Advisers support national, regional and global networks of national focal points on genocide and atrocity prevention. At the global level, these networks include the Global Network of Responsibility to Protect Focal Points and the Global Action Against Mass Atrocity Crimes network. At the regional level, The Special Advisers support the International Conference on the Great Lakes Region (ICGLR)'s Regional and National Committees on the Prevention and Punishment of Genocide, War Crimes and Crimes Against Humanity and all forms of Discrimination, and the Latin American Network on Genocide and Mass Atrocity Prevention.

could be undertaken in partnership with the United Nations, other Member States or civil society. I call upon all Member States to:

- (a) Appoint a **senior-level focal point** with atrocity prevention responsibilities and adequate resources or establish other national mechanisms to implement this mandate;
- (b) Conduct a national assessment of risk and resilience, using the **analysis framework** on the prevention of genocide developed by my Special Adviser, as appropriate, the risk factors outlined in the present report and tools developed by civil society. The review should be system-wide and should include the identification of vulnerable populations and an assessment of existing structures for resilience. Civil society should be included in the review process;
- (c) Sign, ratify and implement relevant international legal instruments;⁴
- (d) Engage with and support other Member States and regional or subregional arrangements to share experiences and enhance cooperation to promote the effective use of resources;
- (e) Participate in peer review processes, including the universal periodic review of the Human Rights Council, as well as regional peer review processes and other options for monitoring the effectiveness of measures taken;
- (f) Identify and form partnerships with other Member States, regional and subregional arrangements or civil society for technical assistance and capacity-building purposes, exchange of lessons learned and mobilization of resources;
- (g) Participate in international, regional and national discussions on the further advancement of the responsibility to protect and its implementation.

VI. Conclusion

72. The consequences of the failure by States to protect their populations were evident in the brutal legacy of the twentieth century. Since the beginning of the twenty-first century, we have again witnessed atrocity crimes that are the direct consequence of the failure of States to take preventive action, including in Côte d'Ivoire, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Kenya, Sri Lanka, the Sudan and the Syrian Arab Republic. These situations are particularly tragic when we know that they could have been prevented.

73. As the present report has set out, the prevention of atrocity crimes requires continuous efforts by States. It also requires the engagement of the international community through assistance or through action, when States manifestly fail to protect their populations. The unacceptable suffering in the Syrian Arab Republic is a tragic reminder of the consequences when, in the first instance, the State, and subsequently, the international community, fail to fulfil their responsibilities in that regard. Our collective failure to prevent atrocity crimes in the Syrian Arab Republic over the past two and a half years will remain a heavy moral burden on the standing of the United Nations and its Member States, in particular those who have primary responsibility for international peace and security, as well as those that, in different ways, have prolonged the conflict. I urge all Member States to provide maximum support for the peace conference in Geneva, especially the implementation of any agreements reached at that time.

a) Did you know that...

An R2P Focal Point is a senior official within a government who facilitates national mechanisms entailed within the Manual for R2P Focal Points for atrocity prevention and promotes international cooperation by participating in the Global Network. Appointment of an R2P Focal Point is a step that can be implemented by governments to demonstrate their commitment to mass atrocity prevention, regardless of their capacity.

b) Did you know that...

This framework divides the risk factors into two segments - common risk factors and specific risk factors.

The common risk factors are:

- Situations of armed conflict or other forms of instability
- Weakness of State structures
- Capacity to commit atrocity crimes
- Enabling circumstances or preparatory action
- Triggering factors
- Absence of mitigating factors
- Motives or incentives
- Record of serious violations of international human rights and humanitarian law

The specific risk factors are:

- Intergroup tensions or patterns of discrimination against protected groups
- Signs of an intent to destroy in whole or in part a protected group
- Signs of a widespread or systematic attack against any civilian population
- Signs of a plan or policy to attack any civilian population
- Serious threats to those protected under international humanitarian law
- Serious threats to humanitarian or peacekeeping operations

could be undertaken in partnership with the United Nations, other Member States or civil society. I call upon all Member States to:

- (a) Appoint a senior-level focal point with atrocity prevention responsibilities and adequate resources or establish other national mechanisms to implement this mandate;
- (b) Conduct a national assessment of risk and resilience, using the analysis framework on the prevention of genocide developed by my Special Adviser, as appropriate, the risk factors outlined in the present report and tools developed by civil society. The review should be system-wide and should include the identification of vulnerable populations and an assessment of existing structures for resilience. Civil society should be included in the review process;
- (c) Sign, ratify and implement relevant international legal instruments;⁴
- (d) Engage with and support other Member States and regional or subregional arrangements to share experiences and enhance cooperation to promote the effective use of resources;
- (e) Participate in peer review processes, including the universal periodic review of the Human Rights Council, as well as regional peer review processes and other options for monitoring the effectiveness of measures taken;
- (f) Identify and form partnerships with other Member States, regional and subregional arrangements or civil society for technical assistance and capacity-building purposes, exchange of lessons learned and mobilization of resources;
- (g) Participate in international, regional and national discussions on the further advancement of the responsibility to protect and its implementation.

VI. Conclusion

72. The consequences of the failure by States to protect their populations were evident in the brutal legacy of the twentieth century. Since the beginning of the twenty-first century, we have again witnessed atrocity crimes that are the direct consequence of the failure of States to take preventive action, including in Côte d'Ivoire, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Kenya, Sri Lanka, the Sudan and the Syrian Arab Republic. Those situations are particularly tragic when we know that they could have been prevented.

73. As the present report has set out, the prevention of atrocity crimes requires continuous efforts by States. It also requires the engagement of the international community through assistance or through action, when States manifestly fail to protect their populations. The unacceptable suffering in the Syrian Arab Republic is a tragic reminder of the consequences when, in the first instance, the State, and subsequently, the international community, fail to fulfil their responsibilities in that regard. Our collective failure to prevent atrocity crimes in the Syrian Arab Republic over the past two and a half years will remain a heavy moral burden on the standing of the United Nations and its Member States, in particular those who have primary responsibility for international peace and security, as well as those that, in different ways, have prolonged the conflict. I urge all Member States to provide maximum support for the peace conference in Geneva, especially the implementation of any agreements reached at that time.

e) Interesting facts...

There is a tool called the R2P MONITOR. What does it do?

- Provides background on populations at risk of mass atrocity crimes, with particular emphasis on key events and actors and their connection to the threat, or commission, of genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity.
- Offers analysis of the country's past history in relation to mass atrocity crimes; the factors that have enabled their possible commission, or that prevent their resolution; and the receptivity of the situation to positive influences that would assist in preventing further crimes.
- Tracks the international response to the situation with a particular emphasis upon the actions of the United Nations (UN), key regional actors and the International Criminal Court (ICC).
- Suggests necessary action to prevent or halt the commission of mass atrocity crimes

72 Interesting facts...

The security situation in North Kivu has further deteriorated since early October due to ongoing fighting between M23 and the FARDC (supported by a coalition of local militias (known as Wazalendo). The Democratic Forces for the Liberation of Rwanda also remains active. Civilians also remain at risk in Ituri, with the ADF, CODECO and other groups launching recurrent attacks against civilians and civilian property in Djugu, Irumu and Mambasa territories. Nearly 200 violent attacks occurred in the first three months of the year. According to the UN, between 1 January 2023 and 19 March 2024 at least 1,533 civilians were killed, 469 injured and 712 kidnapped.

could be undertaken in partnership with the United Nations, other Member States or civil society. I call upon all Member States to:

- (a) Appoint a senior-level focal point with atrocity prevention responsibilities and adequate resources or establish other national mechanisms to implement this mandate;
- (b) Conduct a national assessment of risk and resilience, using the analysis framework on the prevention of genocide developed by my Special Adviser, as appropriate, the risk factors outlined in the present report and tools developed by civil society. The review should be system-wide and should include the identification of vulnerable populations and an assessment of existing structures for resilience. Civil society should be included in the review process;
- (c) Sign, ratify and implement relevant international legal instruments;⁴
- (d) Engage with and support other Member States and regional or subregional arrangements to share experiences and enhance cooperation to promote the effective use of resources;
- (e) Participate in peer review processes, including the universal periodic review of the Human Rights Council, as well as regional peer review processes and other options for monitoring the effectiveness of measures taken;
- (f) Identify and form partnerships with other Member States, regional and subregional arrangements or civil society for technical assistance and capacity-building purposes, exchange of lessons learned and mobilization of resources;
- (g) Participate in international, regional and national discussions on the further advancement of the responsibility to protect and its implementation.

VI. Conclusion

72. The consequences of the failure by States to protect their populations were evident in the brutal legacy of the twentieth century. Since the beginning of the twenty-first century, we have again witnessed atrocity crimes that are the direct consequence of the failure of States to take preventive action, including in Côte d'Ivoire, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Kenya, Sri Lanka, the Sudan and the Syrian Arab Republic. These situations are particularly tragic when we know that they could have been prevented.

73. As the present report has set out, the prevention of atrocity crimes requires continuous efforts by States. It also requires the engagement of the international community through assistance or through action, when States manifestly fail to protect their populations. The unacceptable suffering in the Syrian Arab Republic is a tragic reminder of the consequences when, in the first instance, the State, and subsequently, the international community, fail to fulfil their responsibilities in that regard. Our collective failure to prevent atrocity crimes in the Syrian Arab Republic over the past two and a half years will remain a heavy moral burden on the standing of the United Nations and its Member States, in particular those who have primary responsibility for international peace and security, as well as those that, in different ways, have prolonged the conflict. I urge all Member States to provide maximum support for the peace conference in Geneva, especially the implementation of any agreements reached at that time.

72 Interesting facts...

Violence has dramatically escalated across North Darfur, posing an imminent threat of atrocities to civilian populations. Since April indiscriminate airstrikes and the deliberate targeting of communities in and around El Fasher have led to civilian deaths and the destruction of towns and villages. On 22 May the RSF deliberately attacked and entered the Abu Shouk displacement camp and allegedly beat, tortured and extrajudicially detained civilians. El Fasher is the only capital in Darfur not controlled by the RSF and is home to large Zaghawa, Masalit, Fur and other non-Arab populations.

72 Interesting facts...

Since September 2023 hostilities have intensified in northwest Syria, with continuous shelling and airstrikes hitting civilian objects and critical infrastructure, including the main power station in Idlib city, schools, health facilities, displacement camps, markets and mosques. According to Human Rights Watch, Syrian forces have used incendiary weapons and banned cluster munitions during their attacks. At least 70 civilians have been killed and 303 injured, while approximately 120,000 people have been newly displaced. Hostilities also intensified in the northeast in the fall of 2023, displacing tens of thousands of civilians and impacting civilian infrastructure. Attacks by ISIL have also increased in central Syria, targeting civilians in urban areas. In February OHCHR reported that all parties to the conflict are subjecting civilians trying to return to Syria to arbitrary detention, torture and ill-treatment, sexual and gender-based violence, enforced disappearance and abduction.

74. Few of the policy options presented in the present report are completely new. Many are already being implemented by States, even if they may not be doing so with the specific objective of atrocity prevention. Radical change thus may not be required. However, it is not enough to simply reframe current initiatives. In order to be effective, atrocity prevention requires a concerted, comprehensive and inclusive review of risks and vulnerabilities and the action that could be taken to address them in a timely fashion. I commend all States that have already taken steps to strengthen their preventive capacities, including through implementing measures outlined in this report.

75. I look forward to the upcoming informal interactive dialogue in the General Assembly on the present report. As with earlier dialogues, it will provide an opportunity for Member States to share their views as we continue to chart the road towards the full, balanced and sustainable implementation of the responsibility to protect concept. It is time to make the responsibility to protect a living reality for all people in the world and to make prevention a priority.

76. To that end, I intend to organize a follow-up meeting with Member States, of implementation of the recommendations in this report. The meeting would provide an opportunity for an informal exchange of views on the parameters and effectiveness of policy options in certain contexts, good practices and lessons learned, promote partnerships, and identify steps for the way forward. I encourage Member States to update my Special Advisers on the Prevention of Genocide and on those regional and subregional organizations and civil society on the status of the Responsibility to Protect on measures taken to implement recommendations.

77. I would welcome suggestions for the focus of next year's dialogue. One option could be to examine in greater depth the pillar II responsibility of Member States and the international community to help States build capacity to protect their populations from genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity and to assist those that are under stress before crises and conflicts break out.